

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,511	05/02/2001	Yu-Hsi Wang	67,200-404	7868
7590 08/03/2004			EXAMINER	
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			KORNAKOV, MICHAIL	
Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			1746	

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004 -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	- F
09/847,511	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Kornakov	1746	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. C E

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this applicate final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI 706.07(f).	date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amou fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the per 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (so	ee NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materi issues for appeal; and/or	ially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fin NOTE:	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sep canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	parate, timely filed amendment			
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	·			
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:				
	Michael Kornakov			
07/30/04. M. COPNARD	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1746			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the Final Office Action on the merits. Applicant argues that the limitation to sustain the reciprocating frequency up to 100 cycles /min is a structural limitation of the apparatus, and is directed to a structural rigidity or requirement of the apparatus. This is not found persuasive, because when read in light of the instant specification, the claimed means are defined as "The air cylinder assembly 76 or any other suitable means, is used to provide reciprocation" (see specification, page 16, paragraph 0035. Therefore, as admitted by Applicants, in the instant specification, any suitable means including those recited in the applied prior art are capable of sustaining the claimed frequency range. In addition to this, the property of rigidity, on which Applicant relies, is never referred to either expressly or implicitly in the instant claims and/or specification. And last, but not the least, the claimed range includes the frequency of zero, therefore, including the embodiment, wherein the reciprocation is not essential at all.